

BABCOCK IP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**PATENT**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Junaid Syed
Serial No.: 10/604,756
Filed: 8/14/2003
For: Dual Radius Twist Lock Radome
and Reflector Antenna for Radome
Docket Number: 3017
Confirmation No.: 1755

Examiner: Minh, D A
Art Unit: 2821

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 23 2004

37 CFR 1.133 EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

December 23, 2004

Sir:

Responsive to the Final Rejection dated December 17, 2004 applicant requested and was granted an Examiner interview for the above referenced application on December 23, 2004.

During the interview applicant explained that the present invention is directed to a radome intended for use with a reflector antenna – not a reflector antenna. Each of the Examiners rejections identify elements of a reflector antenna, not a radome.

The Examiner and applicant agreed that amending the preamble of the independent claims 1 and 10 would remove ambiguity as to what the claimed invention is, clarifying that the claims elements are directed only to elements of a radome. The agreed Examiner's amendments are:

1. A radome for a reflector antenna having a reflector with a vertex area, the radome comprising: ...
10. A radome for a reflector antenna having a reflector with a vertex area, the radome comprising: ...

BABCOCK IP LLC
24154 LAKESIDE DRIVE
LAKE ZURICH, IL 60047-8041
USA

VOICE: 847.719.2063
FAX: 847.438.5743
EMAIL@BABCOCKIP.COM
WWW.BABCOCKIP.COM

Serial Number: 10/604,756
Filed: 8/14/2003


BABCOCK IP

In view of the above Examiner's amendment, the Examiner agreed that the present rejections of claims 1, 10 and the dependent claims thereof are improper and will be withdrawn.

Discussing independent claims 15, 18 and 20-22 applicant identified mounting features of the radome, described in the specification paragraphs 0026 and 0027 that couple it to the open end of a reflector antenna reflector dish via interlocking peripheral structures initiated by rotation of the radome relative to the reflector dish. The Examiner agreed that the cited reference, *Pittman*, is related to a reflector antenna feed assembly, not a radome. Therefore, the Examiner indicated he would reconsider the rejections related to claims 15, 18 and 20-22 and the dependent claims thereof.

In view of the outstanding Final Rejection, and the related time limitations, the Examiner agreed to contact applicant if further questions or applicable prior art arises. Applicant awaits further communication, withdrawal/revision of the outstanding Final Rejection or a notice of allowance.

Respectfully submitted,




Andrew Babcock, Esq.
Attorney for Applicant
Registration Number 44517

Babcock IP, LLC
24154 Lakeside Dr.
Lake Zurich, IL 60047
Telephone: 847 719-2063
Fax: 847 438-5743

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No 703 872-9306) on December 23, 2004.



Andrew D. Babcock